```
I5HPPOLC
1
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 4
                                              17 CR 0649 (GBD)
                 V.
5
      TERRELL POLK, ET AL.,
6
                     Defendants.
 7
           -----x
 8
                                              New York, N.Y.
                                              May 17, 2018
9
                                               10:52 a.m.
10
     Before:
11
                          HON. GEORGE B. DANIELS,
12
                                              District Judge
13
14
                                APPEARANCES
15
      GEOFFREY S. BERMAN,
           United States Attorney for the
16
           Southern District of New York
17
     MICHAEL K. KROUSE
     NICHOLAS FOLLY
18
           Assistant United States Attorneys
      STEVEN G. BRILL, on behalf of Richard Lind
19
           Attorney for Defendant Polk
20
      JUDITH VARGAS
21
           Attorney for Defendant Moss
22
     CESAR DeCASTRO
           Attorney for Defendant Corbett
23
     STEVEN G. BRILL
24
          Attorney for Defendant Smith
25
```

(In open court)

MR. KROUSE: Good morning, your Honor. Michael Krouse and Nicholas Folly for the United States.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. FOLLY: Morning, your Honor.

MR. BRILL: Good morning, your Honor. Sullivan and Brill by Steven Brill for Timothy Smith and Terrell Polk. As your Honor knows, Richard Lind, who was the attorney of record, sought permission for me to stand up for him. You granted that, and I spoke to Mr. Polk and he consents. How are you?

THE COURT: Good. Good morning.

MS. VARGAS: Good morning, your Honor. Judith Vargas on behalf of Mr. Jamel Moss.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. DeCASTRO: Good morning, your Honor. On behalf of Mr. Corbett, Cesar DeCastro.

THE COURT: Good morning.

Let me start with the government. What's the status?

I guess I should say, I read your papers. I think the

government's position is that they believe it's appropriate to

have a hearing on the car stop, and we'll schedule that and

have an entry here. But they believe I should deny, without a

hearing, the motion with regard to the suppression of the drugs

taken out of the apartment.

MR. KROUSE: That's correct, your Honor. We agree

that a hearing is appropriate for the motion to suppress the fruits of the car stop, and the government anticipates calling two witnesses at that hearing. So it probably wouldn't be more than a few hours.

THE COURT: Remind me. My understanding was that three of the four defendants were in the car, not all four?

MR. KROUSE: Yes, your Honor, three of the four defendants were in the car and only two of the defendants have moved to suppress.

THE COURT: That's which two?

MR. KROUSE: Timothy Smith and Terrell Polk.

THE COURT: Polk is here? All right. So when would your witnesses be available?

MR. KROUSE: They're both law enforcement witnesses, your Honor. They can be available whenever the Court wishes to set the hearing.

THE COURT: All right. So let me turn to the defense. When would you like to have a hearing on that issue?

MR. BRILL: Right, so I have the good fortune of standing up for Mr. Lind, as well, who's also involved in the hearing, and as anything, I think scheduling it is a bit tricky here. I am starting a trial, as I mentioned to the Court before, in the Eastern District on June 11th that should take at least four weeks, which puts us in the middle of July.

I think I could possibly squeeze it in before that,

but I'm looking at Mr. Lind's good dates, which are early June, and I'm not sure they're entirely helpful to do that because the dates that he gives prior to June 11th, my trial date, have times that may not permit us to accommodate a hearing. I know the government says it should only take a couple of hours; that would be a very quick hearing.

You know, for example, Mr. Lind gave a good date of June 7th between 10:00 and 11:30; so I don't think that helps us out all that much.

THE COURT: You think that is time he is not available or that's just a start?

MR. BRILL: That's a good question.

THE COURT: I assume that's a start time he has available.

MR. BRILL: If your Honor pleases and you want to schedule it before my trial on June 11th, then --

THE COURT: What date is that, June 7th?

MR. BRILL: Well, yes, he mentioned June 7th. He also mentions June 1st and June 6th. Given my trial on the 11th, maybe the 1st -- actually, he says no, after 3:00 on the 1st.

The 6th, how is the 6th for the Court?

THE COURT: What does he say his time is?

MR. BRILL: He says 10:30 to 12:00 on the 6th.

THE COURT: I have a trial starting on the 4th; so the 7th would probably be better. I think we might be able to

finish the trial in three days. If they close -- so it probably would make more sense to do it at 10:00 on the 7th.

MR. BRILL: Okay. So it sounds like that's good for Mr. Lind, and I'll make that work.

THE COURT: All right. So we'll schedule that. If that's a problem for anybody, let me know, but we'll schedule it for that. The next week is the judicial conference, and the week after that, I have another trial scheduled.

MR. BRILL: Yes, and like I said, I'm totally engaged after that; so that makes sense for me.

THE COURT: We can at least discuss it now. I don't have to necessarily totally address it now, but I wasn't quite sure what the basis was for the motion, the suppression of the drugs that were involved. It seems to me that there was a search warrant, there was a search warrant issued. The search warrant isn't challenged. The search warrant authorized the search for guns in the apartment.

It seems to me, I don't know what theory there would be that a place where they could search for the guns, even though you can argue they were in plain view, even if it wasn't in plain view, that there's some evidence or affidavit that indicates that they went beyond what was authorized in the search warrant, to search areas and found the drugs in some area that they were not already authorized for them to search for the guns. So does someone have a motion still, a valid

motion, or does someone have an item to be made?

MR. BRILL: So, unfortunately, your Honor, I'm standing in for Mr. Lind, but I'm in no way prepared to answer your Honor's questions. I would respectfully ask that you perhaps table that question and then pose that to Mr. Lind.

I'm sure he will have an answer for you, but I just don't.

THE COURT: Okay. So we can address that on the day of the hearing on June 7th, on the motion, if he has further motions or arguments to make. But I agree, unless I have further argument that you want to submit or if he wants to submit it by letter before that, it seems to me that the government's position is correct, that that's not an issue, based on the facts that were laid out for me, that is not an issue that warrants a hearing.

There was a valid warrant, and that's not being challenged. They have a warrant to search the apartment to search for guns. Unless there is some testimony or affidavit that puts at issue whether or not the search went beyond the scope of what was authorized in the warrant, it appears to me that there would be no basis to suppress the drugs that were seized while they were validly executing the warrant in the apartment, whether or not it was in plain view, as they have alleged, depending on if there's some other argument to be made by some defendant that the drugs were in some other location that was beyond the scope of the warrant.

MR. BRILL: I'll advise Mr. Lind of your Honor's questions and concerns.

THE COURT: So is there anything else we need to address today?

MS. VARGAS: Your Honor, if I may. On behalf of Mr. Jamel Moss, we did not file a motion in this case; so we're not necessarily implicated in the hearing that your Honor has scheduled, but I wanted to inform the Court that as of Monday, the 21st of May, I will be out of the country for three weeks. So I will not be available for the hearing, but I did want to throw that into the mix, since we're not part of the motion. I guess I could look at the transcript and apprise myself of what happened at the hearing.

THE COURT: Sure. Do you want me to excuse you and him for that day?

MS. VARGAS: If you could, yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Just remind me. Give me that in writing; so I won't have to produce him if he's not subject to the hearing for that day, and then we can give you some allotted time.

MS. VARGAS: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything else, counsel?

MR. DeCASTRO: I do. I have one thing, your Honor.

On the last day, on April 4th, your Honor may recall, I was not present. I was on trial before Judge Abrams, and a trial date

was set but I -- I didn't know we were going to set a trial date. A trial date was set that I may have a conflict on that date. I don't think it's necessary to move the trial date right now, Judge. I just wanted to give you a heads up so that in the event that we do, I would of course give the Court tons of notice, but I would write a letter to that.

THE COURT: Why don't you discuss it with the others and see if there's a more convenient date before or after that that you want to suggest, and we can discuss that further on June 7th, if you both appear for that.

MR. DeCASTRO: Okay.

THE COURT: Also, I know that we put it down for Wednesday. I think we were thinking about the holidays, the Jewish holidays, but I don't think that that solved the problem because that was what our concern was. So we may want to either move it, start on the 24th or start it earlier, the beginning of the week or the week before.

So talk with each other about the availability of witnesses and availability of the lawyers, and maybe we can discuss it further specifically whether you need to stick with the schedules we have or whether we should adjust them.

Yes?

MR. BRILL: Yes, hi. So, your Honor, may I just suggest that the government turn over their 3500 material with respect to their officers in a reasonable period of time, if

```
1
      possible?
 2
                          When would the government be prepared?
               THE COURT:
 3
               MR. KROUSE: One week before the hearing, your Honor.
 4
               THE COURT: Okay. So by May 31st?
 5
               MR. KROUSE: Yes, your Honor.
 6
               THE COURT:
                          All right.
 7
               MR. BRILL:
                          Thank you.
               THE COURT: Anything further from the government?
 8
9
               MR. KROUSE: No, your Honor. Thank you.
10
               THE COURT: So motions are pending. I'll exclude the
11
      time, it's automatically, between now and the next date. We'll
12
      be prepared to move forward with the hearing on that date.
13
      Obviously, if there's a problem scheduling with the lawyers and
14
      the witnesses for that date, let me know as early as possible
      so I can I adjust my schedule, but otherwise, I'll see the
15
     parties for the hearing on June 7th at 10:00.
16
17
               MR. KROUSE: Thank you, your Honor.
18
               THE COURT: All right. Have a good one.
19
               MR. BRILL:
                          Thank you, your Honor.
20
               MS. VARGAS: Thank you, your Honor.
21
               (Adjourned)
22
23
24
25
```